

2346 Pleasant Rd. Fort Mill, SC 29708

Grades K-5 Elementary School

Enrollment 798 Students

PrincipalTravis Howard803-835-0090SuperintendentDr. James N. Epps, Jr.803-548-2572Page of ChairPage of the Principal White903-803-0033

Board Chair Patrick White 803-802-0033

2012 REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING
2012	Excellent	Excellent
2011	Excellent	Excellent
2010	Excellent	Excellent
2009	N/A	N/A
2008	N/A	N/A

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov


Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating

Percent of students tested in 2011-12 whose 2010-11 test scores were located

93%

ABOSECTE INTINGO OF ELEMENTARY CONSOLO WITH OTOBERTO EINE CONC						
Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk		
13	0	0	0	0		

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 11/07/2012.



^{*} Elementary schools with Students Like Ours are elementary schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

Definition of Critical Terms				
Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.			
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.			
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.			

School Profile

Control Forms	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n=798)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	100.0%	No Change	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	0.6%	Up from 0.3%	0.7%	1.0%
Attendance rate	97.5%	Up from 96.6%	97.4%	96.6%
Served by gifted and talented program	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
With disabilities other than speech	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Older than usual for grade	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=51)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	70.6%	Up from 69.4%	66.7%	63.0%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	90.4%	88.7%
Teacher attendance rate	95.6%	Down from 96.0%	94.8%	95.1%
Average teacher salary*	\$47,027	Up 0.4%	\$49,783	\$47,210
Professional development days/teacher	12.3 days	Up from 11.0 days	8.8 days	10.5 days
School				
Principal's years at school	3.0	Up from 2.0	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	20.6 to 1	Down from 21.6 to 1	21.7 to 1	20.0 to 1
Prime instructional time	90.7%	Up from 90.4%	91.0%	90.5%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	100.0%	Up from 99.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Character development program	Good	No Change	Excellent	Excellent
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$5,279	Down 9.6%	\$6,304	\$7,247
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	74.3%	Up from 73.8%	70.2%	68.2%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	73.4%	Up from 72.5%	69.4%	65.7%

^{*} Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

^{**} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

"Year Three" was full of accomplishments for the Panther Family. Our community agreement for the 2011-2012 school year was, "At PKES, we are T.E.A.M. Players!" Students, teachers, and parents focused on demonstrating the leadership skills of teamwork, empathy, awesome attitude, and making good decisions. This focus set the tone for a positive and productive learning environment for all of our community members both in and outside of our Panther Family. In order for us to fulfill our vision of developing and supporting a sense of T.E.A.M within each child, we recognized positive behavior through Student of the Month and Blue Wall of Fame recognitions. Additionally, T.E.A.M. behaviors were promoted through guidance lessons, morning meetings, and a boys' mentoring group. During the school year we were recognized for excellence in a number of areas. We received an absolute performance rating of Excellent and a growth rating of Excellent on our second state report card. For that reason, we earned the Palmetto Gold Award for our PASS performance. which is the highest academic honor recognized by the State Department of Education. Our school also maintained excellence within the classroom. We met all AYP objectives and received the "All Clear" status from the State Department of Education as a result of maintaining highly qualified teachers in each classroom. Our students, faculty, and parents have continued on their path towards being leaders in our building. Our fifth grade students participated in a variety of leadership academies that focused on developing students in areas beyond academics. In addition, students had opportunities to participate in faculty-led clubs that continued to foster a sense of community within our school environment. These clubs included art, safety patrol, dance, chorus, news crew, newspaper, recycling, and writing. The faculty at PKES continues to demonstrate leadership by implementing dynamic curriculum through differentiated instruction and innovative programs, including Daily Five and Response to Intervention. In addition to the duties in and out of the classroom, our teachers participated in community service projects such as Susan G. Komen Race for the Cure and Ronald McDonald House. Our diligent PTO continued to be an instrumental part of our school community. This year they fulfilled numerous classroom, related arts, and grade level supply requests. They also funded our summer intervention program and worked to enhance our school's exterior environment, in part with funding from a Lowe's Home Improvement Grant. With the lasting support of our PTO. School Improvement Council, and parent volunteers, we are looking forward to a successful "Year Four" at Pleasant Knoll Elementary.

Travis Howard, Principal Jean Piermattei, SIC Chair

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	29	117	79				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	90.6%	98.7%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	88.8%	93.6%				
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	100.0%	94.7%	92.4%				

^{*} Only students at the highest elementary school grade level and their parents were included.

ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System

In July 2012, the South Carolina Department of Education was granted a waiver from several accountability requirements of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). This waiver allowed SC to replace the former pass/fail system with one that utilizes more of the statewide assessments already in place and combine these subject area results with graduation rate (in high schools) to determine if each school met the target or made progress toward the target. This analysis results in a letter grade for the school rather than the pass/fail system of previous years. For a detailed review of the matrix for each school and districts that determined the letter grade, please use the following link: http://ed.sc.gov/data/esea/ or request this information from your child's district or school.

Overall Weighted Points Total	100.0
Overall Grade Conversion	Α

Index Score	Grade	Description	
90-100	Α	Performance substantially exceeds the state's expectations.	
80-89.9	В	erformance exceeds the state's expectations.	
70-79.9	С	Performance meets the state's expectations.	
60-69.9	D	Performance does not meet the state's expectations.	
Less than 60	F	Performance is substantially below the state's expectations	

	chool
	ı
o	

Diagonal	1/221	Flementar	 haa	h	daaiaaata	

	Title I Reward School for Performance - among the highest performing Title I schools in a given year.
	Title I Reward School for Progress – one of the schools with substantial progress in student subgroups.
	Title I Focus School – one of the schools with the highest average performance gap between subgroups.
	Title I Priority School – one of the 5% lowest performing Title I schools.
	Title I School – does not qualify as Reward, Focus or Priority School.
\checkmark	Non-Title I School – therefore the designations above are not applicable.

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	2.1%	2.6%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	N/A	5.1%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0%	0.0%	Yes
Student attendance rate	97.5%	94.0%*	Yes

^{*} Or greater than last year

Pleasant Knoll Elementary 11/07/12-4604055							
Performance By Group							
Subgroups	ELA Mean	Math Mean	Science Mean	Social Studies Mean	ELA % Tested	Math % Tested	
Grades 3-5							
All Students	699.4	693.8	662.7	682.6	100.0	100.0	
Male	697.7	696.8	667.6	693.2	100.0	100.0	
Female	701.3	690.4	657.6	671.8	100.0	100.0	
White	701.3	694.2	663.8	680.7	100.0	100.0	
African American	677.4	673.2	643.5	668.9	100.0	100.0	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disabled	655.3	652.4	629.5	654.1	100.0	100.0	
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Subsidized meals	672.0	668.5	647.8	669.4	100.0	100.0	
Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)	630.0	630.0	630.0	630.0	95.0	95.0	

PASS Performance By Grade Level Page Pa	
Columbia	
123	% Met or Exemplary
123	
100 2.9 30.7 66.4 60.9 60	97.4
5 123 100 9.6 29.6 60.9 6 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 7 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 8 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 3 136 100 1.5 9.7 88.8 4 141 100 3 23.3 73.7 5 145 100 3.6 35 61.3 6 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 7 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 8 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 7 N/A N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 7 N/A N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A	97.1
7 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 8 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 3 136 100 1.5 9.7 88.8 4 141 100 3 23.3 73.7 5 145 100 3.6 35 61.3 6 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 7 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 8 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 8 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A Mathematics Mathematics 3 123 100 7.8 29.3 62.9 4 144 100 2.9 32.1 65 5 123 100 8.7 43.5 47.8 6 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 7 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A	90.4
7 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 8 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 3 136 100 1.5 9.7 88.8 4 141 100 3 23.3 73.7 5 145 100 3.6 35 61.3 6 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 7 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 8 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 8 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A Mathematics Mathematics 3 123 100 7.8 29.3 62.9 4 144 100 2.9 32.1 65 5 123 100 8.7 43.5 47.8 6 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 7 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A
S	N/A
3	N/A
The late	98.5
5 145 100 3.6 35 61.3 6 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 7 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 8 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A **Mathematics** **Mathematics** **Mathematics** **Mathematics** **Mathematics** **Jensent Company of the c	97
7 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 8 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A	96.4
7 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 8 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A
Mathematics 3	N/A
3 123 100 7.8 29.3 62.9 4 144 100 2.9 32.1 65 5 123 100 8.7 43.5 47.8 6 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	
4 144 100 2.9 32.1 65 5 123 100 8.7 43.5 47.8 6 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 7 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A	92.2
5 123 100 8.7 43.5 47.8 6 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 7 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A	97.1
7 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A	91.3
7 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A	N/A
	N/A
8 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A	N/A
3 136 100 2.2 14.2 83.6	97.8
4 141 100 4.5 23.3 72.2	95.5
5 145 100 3.6 35.8 60.6	96.4
6 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A 7 N/A N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A	N/A
	N/A
8 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A	N/A
Science	
3 61 100 8.5 59.3 32.2	91.5
4 444 400 44 504 00.5	95.6
5 61 100 12.3 45.6 42.1	87.7
5 61 100 12.3 45.6 42.1 6 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A
7 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A	N/A
8	N/A
3 68 100 2.9 39.7 57.4	97.1
4 141 100 2.3 75.9 21.8	97.7
5 74 100 2.9 48.5 48.5 6 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A	97.1
4 141 100 2.3 75.9 21.8 5 74 100 2.9 48.5 48.5 6 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A	N/A
7 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A	N/A
8 N/A N/AV N/A N/A N/A	N/A

PASS	Performanc	e By Grade L	evel					
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary	
Social Studies								
	3	62	100	3.5	17.5	78.9	96.5	
_	4	144	100	3.6	27.7	68.6	96.4	
2011	5	62	100	8.6	29.3	62.1	91.4	
70	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	3	68	100	3	30.3	66.7	97	
2	4	141	100	2.3	32.3	65.4	97.7	
2012	5	71	100	4.3	36.2	59.4	95.7	
7	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Writing								
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
_	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2011	5	120	100	6.1	33	60.9	93.9	
2(6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2012	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
9	5	146	100	5	33.8	61.2	95	
2	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	